UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,530	03/18/2005	Shinsuke Inoue	17195/002001	8299
22511 OSHA LIANG	7590 06/15/200 L.L.P.	9	EXAMINER	
TWO HOUSTO	ON CENTER		TRUONG, DUC	
909 FANNIN, SUITE 3500 HOUSTON, TX 77010			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/15/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com buta@oshaliang.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/528,530	INOUE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Duc Truong	1796			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT INTO THE MAILING DEPLAY AND THE MAILING DEPLAY THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be twill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	larch 2009				
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.				
3)	, 					
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 9 and 11-20 is/are pending in the app	olication.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,11-13 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been received in CPCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>031709</u> .	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/17/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The response and the Declaration submitted by Applicant do not overcome the rejection made by examiner in the last office action and for the following reasons:

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the acid component is an aromatic tetracarboxylic acid dianhydride of the claimed formula (I), does not reasonably provide enablement for at least 30 mole%. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicant's arguments are based on the required molar ratio of said component (at least 30 mol%). However, Applicant agrees that the cited references do disclose said component as an optional component. That means said component can be present in the composition of the reference but the molar ratio is not defined.

The Declarations have been fully considered but they are not persuasive since they are not commensurate in scope with the claims in that the claims require at least 30 mol% to have the claimed characteristics.

Claims 9,11-13 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chem Abstracts 136: 7165 or 136: 7164 or 136: 7161 or 136: 7160 or 136: 7159 for the reasons as stated in the last office action.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,530

Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,530 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Duc Truong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796